

A MULTIPLICATIVE PRODUCT OF DISTRIBUTIONS AND A CLASS OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DISTRIBUTIONAL COEFFICIENTS

NUNO COSTA DIAS AND JOÃO NUNO PRATA

ABSTRACT. We construct a generalization of the multiplicative product of distributions presented by L. Hörmander in [L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I* (Springer-Verlag, 1983)]. The new product is defined in the vector space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ of piecewise smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and all their (distributional) derivatives. It is associative, satisfies the Leibniz rule and reproduces the usual pointwise product of functions for regular distributions in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Endowed with this product, the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ becomes a differential associative algebra of generalized functions. By working in the new $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ -setting we determine a method for transforming an ordinary linear differential equation with general solution ψ into another, ordinary linear differential equation, with general solution $\chi_\Omega \psi$, where χ_Ω is the characteristic function of some prescribed interval $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Two of the most interesting properties of the space of Schwartz distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over $\mathbb{R}^n$ are that the space of continuous functions $C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that differentiation is an internal operation in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [22, 26, 12]. On the other hand, its major limitation is that it only displays the structure of a vector space and not that of an algebra. This has been known since 1954 when L. Schwartz proved that there is *no* associative and commutative algebra of generalized functions $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n), +, \circ)$ satisfying the three following properties:

- (1) The space of distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ over $\mathbb{R}^n$ is linearly embedded into $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f(x) \equiv 1$ is the identity in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (2) The restriction of the product $\circ$ to the set of continuous functions $C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ reproduces the pointwise product of functions.
- (3) There exist linear derivative operators $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, ($i = 1, \dots, n$) that: (a) Satisfy the Leibniz rule and (b) Their restrictions to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Key words and phrases. Schwartz distributions; multiplicative products; ODE with distributional coefficients; solutions of confined support.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 46F10; 46F30; 46N20; 34B05.

coincide with the usual distributional derivatives.

This theorem became known as the Schwartz impossibility result [21]. In spite of it, certain products of distributions emerge rather naturally in several fields of research in both mathematics and physics. Common examples can be found in quantum electrodynamics and particle physics when dealing with self interacting terms; in hydrodynamics in the formulation of the dynamics of shock waves; and, more generally, whenever one wants to consider differential equations where either the coefficients or the prospective solutions are non-smooth.

These issues motivated several proposals towards a precise construction of a multiplicative product of distributions. Among these, the most famous example is due to J. Colombeau [1, 2, 3], who constructed differential algebras of generalized functions $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying conditions (1) and (3) with (2) holding only in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (another proposal of a globally defined product of distributions can be found in [19, 20]). Colombeau algebras are a fine solution for the problem of constructing associative and commutative algebras $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the situation:

$$(1) \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C^0(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and satisfying the maximal number of properties stated in the Schwartz impossibility result. Its main disadvantage might be that, in practical applications, one is required to leave the simpler distributional framework and work in the more involved setting $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is because, in general, the Colombeau product of two distributions is no longer a distribution. Moreover, $C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this paper we want to consider the following problem: Since it is not possible to construct a superspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the properties (1) to (3) stated in the Schwartz impossibility result, one could, at least, try to construct (the largest possible) subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that satisfies properties (2) and (3). More precisely:

Problem 1

Determine associative (possible non-commutative) algebras $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n), +, \star)$ satisfying

$$(2) \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and such that:

(i) The restriction of the product $\star$ to the set $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ coincides with the usual pointwise product of functions, i.e. $f \star g = fg$, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(ii) There exist derivative operators in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which are of the form $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and:

- (ii-1) coincide with the restrictions to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the usual distributional derivatives in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (ii-2) satisfy the Leibniz rule.

In this paper we will address this problem in one dimension. We will take $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ to be the space $C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of piecewise smooth functions together with their (distributional) derivatives to all orders, and define a new product $\star$ such that $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), +, \star)$ becomes an associative (but noncommutative) algebra satisfying properties (i) and (ii) above. The new product $\star$ is a generalization of the product of distributions with non-intersecting singular supports that was proposed by L. Hörmander in [pag. 55 of ref.[12]]. Our task here will consist of extending the domain of Hörmander's product to include the case of distributions with intersecting singular supports. Clearly, this is not possible for the entire set $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$, but we will prove that it is possible in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

It is important to remark that:

- (a) $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is not a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ because the product $\star$ is not the restriction of the Colombeau product $\circ$ to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. In fact, Colombeau's product is not an inner operation in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and it does not even satisfy $f \circ g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.
- (b) In many specific problems, where products of distributions are present, what is at stake are products involving piecewise $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -functions and distributional derivatives of these functions. This is the typical case when considering differential equations with distributional coefficients or non-linear terms. For these cases, the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ displays almost optimal properties. It provides a sufficiently general setting without ever leaving the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$.
- (c) The main limitation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is that it is defined over $\mathbb{R}$, only. Moreover, the details of the derivation of the product $\star$ indicate that the extension of its domain to functionals of several variables might not be easy. We hope to study this issue in a forthcoming paper.

In the second part of this paper, we use our product of distributions to address the following problem:

Problem 2

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and let χ_Ω be the characteristic function of Ω (i.e. $\chi_\Omega(x) = 1$ for $x \in \Omega$ and zero otherwise).

Let us consider a generic, linear and ordinary differential equation and let $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be its general solution on $\mathbb{R}$.

The problem we want to address is that of deriving a new, also linear and ordinary differential equation, but displaying the general solution $\chi_\Omega \psi$.

The crux of the matter here is that it is the *general solution* (i.e. irrespectively of the boundary conditions) that has to be confined to the interval

Ω . The case where we only impose the confinement of a particular solution can be solved by adding to the original differential equation a suitable non-homogenous distributional term. Differential equations of this type and their relation with the Green's function theory and with functions with jump discontinuities have been investigated in [14, 9, 18, 23]. In general the distributional term produces a jump discontinuity of fixed strength that is able to confine a particular, but not all solutions [14]. In several cases this is an important limitation. For instance, if we want to construct a (version of a given) differential operator whose eigenfunctions are all confined to an interval Ω (a relevant problem, for example, for the global formulation of quantum systems with boundaries [13]) the distributional non-homogeneous term method is useless.

By working in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ we will provide a general method for constructing the differential equations, solutions of Problem 2. Their most interesting feature is that they display an extra term written in terms of a distributional coefficient. This is why they require the use of a product of distributions. The new differential equations yield a global formulation for a class of differential problems defined on bounded domains and may find interesting applications in those fields of mathematical physics and dynamical systems where global analysis is required [13]. An example of such applications is the derivation of a *globally defined* time independent Schrödinger equation for systems with boundaries [13, 8, 11, 7] and the related issue of quantum confinement in several non-local formulations of quantum mechanics, such as the deformation and the De Broglie-Bohm formulations [16, 5, 6]. These problems were studied in [8, 7, 5] using an approach related to the results that will be presented here.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce the notation, provide a concise review of some relevant results on Schwartz's distributions and define the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. In section 3 we define a product in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and study its main properties. In section 4 we address the problem of constructing globally defined differential equations displaying solutions of confined support.

2. SCHWARTZ DISTRIBUTIONS AND THE SPACE $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$

In this section we introduce the notation, review some classical results in the theory of distributions and define the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ (in the next section we will prove that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is an algebra). Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval, $C^m(\Omega)$ the vector space of complex functions on Ω which are m -times continuously differentiable, and let $C_p^m(\Omega)$ be the vector space of piecewise C^m -functions on Ω , i.e. of functions that are m -times continuously differentiable, except on a finite set, where they and all their derivatives up to order m have finite left and right limits. Let also $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be the vector space of infinitely smooth, complex valued functions with support on a compact subset of Ω . The set $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is usually named the set of test functions. The set of Schwartz

distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ is the topological dual of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Its elements are linear and continuous functionals F acting on $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ [26]:

$$(3) \quad F : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \quad t \rightarrow F(t) \equiv \langle F, t \rangle$$

where $F(t)$ and $\langle F, t \rangle$ are two alternative notations for the action of F on t .

In our notation the lowercase roman letters from the middle of the alphabet ($f, g, h, \dots$) will be used for arbitrary functions, those from the end of the alphabet ($t, s, r, \dots$) will be reserved for test functions and the upper case roman letters from the middle of the alphabet (F, G, J, K) will be used for distributions. H is the Heaviside step function. By $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f$ and $f^{(n)}$ we denote the n th-order distributional derivative of f . The notation f', f'' will also be used for the first and second order derivatives.

A distribution F is regular if it acts as $\langle F, t \rangle = \int f(x)t(x)dx$ for some locally integrable function f . If f is continuous we shall make the identification $F = f$ because f is determined by F uniquely. Furthermore, the restriction of a distribution $F : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to an open set $\Xi \subset \Omega$ is the distribution $F_\Xi : \mathcal{D}(\Xi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; t \rightarrow \langle F_\Xi, t \rangle = \langle F, t \rangle$. Two distributions $F, G \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ are identical on some open set $\Xi \subset \Omega$ iff $F_\Xi = G_\Xi$. The null set of F (denoted null F) is the largest open set Ξ where $F_\Xi = 0$. The complement of this set is the support of F , denoted $\text{supp } F$. The singular support of F is defined as the complement of the largest open set Ξ where $F = f$ for some $f \in C^\infty(\Xi)$. The standard notation for this set is $\text{sing supp } F$. Another important notion is that of (weak) convergence in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. A sequence of distributions $(F_n)_{1 \leq n < \infty}$ is said to converge (weakly) to $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle F_n, t \rangle = \langle F, t \rangle$ for all $t \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

The following definition is very important for the sequel:

Definition 2.1: The space $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$

The space of special distributions $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ on Ω is the space of piecewise smooth functions $C_p^\infty(\Omega)$ (regarded as distributions) together with their distributional derivatives to all orders.

Remark 2.2. All functions $f \in C_p^\infty(\Omega)$ can be associated with a distribution through the prescription $\langle f, t \rangle = \int f(x)t(x)dx$. In this sense, $C_p^\infty(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and so $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. One easily realizes that $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is, in fact, a linear subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. It also follows from its definition that $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is closed under differentiation. In the next section we will define a product $\star$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and prove that $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), +, \star)$ is an associative, differential algebra.

Remark 2.3. For each $f \in C_p^\infty(\Omega)$ there is a finite set $V_f = \{x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N\} \subset \Omega$ such that $f \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus V_f)$. Let $I_i =]x_i, x_{i+1}[$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$; $I_0 =]-\infty, x_1[\cap \Omega$ and $I_N =]x_N, +\infty[\cap \Omega$. Since f and all its derivatives display finite limits at x_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$ there is (by Whitney's extension theorem

[24, 10]) a collection of functions $f_i \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $i = 0, \dots, N$ such that their restrictions to I_i coincide with those of f , i.e:

$$(4) \quad f(x) = f_i(x) \quad \text{if } x \in I_i \quad ; \quad i = 0, \dots, N$$

Hence, on $\Omega \setminus V_f$ we have:

$$(5) \quad f = \sum_{i=0}^N \chi_i f_i$$

where $\chi_i(x) = 1$ if $x \in I_i$ and $\chi_i(x) = 0$ if $x \notin I_i$ is the characteristic function of I_i . Conversely, if f is of the form (5) then $f \in C_p^\infty(\Omega)$. These statements are also valid in distributional sense, i.e. $f \in C_p^\infty(\Omega)$ (regarded as a subset of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ - Remark 2.2) iff there is a finite set $V_f \subset \Omega$ and a collection of functions $f_i \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $i = 0, \dots, N = \#V_f$ such that, in the sense of distributions, f can be written in the form (5).

Remark 2.4. It is clear that $C^\infty(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, but $C^0(\Omega)$ is not. For instance $f(x) = \sqrt{|x|} \notin \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. The Dirac delta distribution δ and all its derivatives are elements of $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$.

Lastly, we review the content of two well-known theorems, which will be important in the sequel. Proofs may be found in [26]. The first one concerns the (re)construction of a global distribution from a set of local ones:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\Omega_k \subset \Omega$, $k = 1, \dots, N$ be a finite collection of open sets covering Ω . Let $F_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, N$ be a collection of distributions such that $F_i = F_j$ in $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, N$. Then there is one and only one $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ such that $F_{\Omega_k} = F_k$ for all $k = 1, \dots, N$.*

The second one is a consequence of the completeness of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ with respect to the weak convergence:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $F_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ be a one parameter family of distributions with $\epsilon > 0$. If $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F_\epsilon, t \rangle$ exists for every $t \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ then:*

$$(6) \quad F : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, t \longrightarrow \langle F, t \rangle \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F_\epsilon, t \rangle$$

is an element of $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$.

3. THE ALGEBRA OF SPECIAL DISTRIBUTIONS AND MULTIPLICATIVE PRODUCTS

In this section we will provide two alternative characterizations of the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2), study Hörmander's product of distributions with non intersecting singular supports (Definition 3.4 to Theorem 3.7) and make the proposal of the new product $\star$ (Definition 3.10 and Theorems 3.13 to 3.16). These results prove that $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), +, \star)$ is indeed a differential

associative algebra and a solution of *Problem 1*. To make the presentation simpler, we will assume that $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, but our results are still valid for an arbitrary open interval $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$.

The next theorem follows from Remark 2.3 and provides an important characterization of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$:

Theorem 3.1. *$F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ iff there is a finite set of real numbers $V_F \subset \mathbb{R}$ and two Schwartz distributions f and $\Delta^{(F)}$, such that:*

$$(7) \quad F = f + \Delta^{(F)}$$

where $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F)$, and $\Delta^{(F)}$ is of the form:

$$(8) \quad \Delta^{(F)} = \sum_{w \in V_F} \Delta_w^{(F)} = \sum_{w \in V_F} \sum_{k=0}^N C_k(w) \delta^{(k)}(x - w)$$

for some $N \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $C_k(w) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. If $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, then F is a n th-order (distributional) derivative of some function $g \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ (cf. Definition 2.1). It follows from Remark 2.3 that, in the sense of distributions, g can be written as:

$$(9) \quad g = g_0 + \sum_{w \in V_g} H(x - w) g_w$$

where H is the Heaviside step function, $g_0, g_w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and V_g is a finite set of real numbers. We then have:

$$(10) \quad F = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} g = g_0^{(n)} + \sum_{w \in V_g} \sum_{p=0}^n \binom{n}{p} H^{(n-p)}(x - w) g_w^{(p)}$$

where $\binom{n}{p} = \frac{n!}{(n-p)!p!}$ is the binomial coefficient. It is easy to realize that F can be cast in the form (7) by setting:

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} f &= g_0^{(n)} + \sum_{w \in V_g} H(x - w) g_w^{(n)} \\ \Delta^{(F)} &= \sum_{w \in V_g} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{p} g_w^{(p)}(x) \delta^{(n-1-p)}(x - w) \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_g} \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} g_w^{(p)}(w) \delta^{(n-1-p)}(x - w) \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that i) $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus V_g)$ and that ii) $\Delta^{(F)}$ is of the form (8)).

Conversely, if F is given by eqs.(7,8) then F is the $(N+1)$ th-order derivative

of:

$$(12) \quad g = g_0 + \sum_{w \in V_F} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{C_k(w)}{(N-k)!} H(x-w)(x-w)^{N-k}$$

where:

$$(13) \quad g_0(x) = \begin{cases} \int_a^x dx_0 f(x_0) & , \quad N = 0 \\ \int_a^x dx_0 \int_a^{x_0} dx_1 \int_a^{x_1} dx_2 \dots \int_a^{x_{N-1}} dx_N f(x_N) & , \quad N \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $g \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ we have $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ which concludes the proof. $\square$

The next theorem characterizes $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ as the set of Schwartz distributions with finite singular support which, in addition, are identical to a $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ function on every open interval not intersecting its singular support. The theorem is not essential for the sequel and can be skipped.

Theorem 3.2. *A distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ iff:*

- (a) *The singular support of F is a finite set, and*
- (b) *If $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval satisfying $\Xi \cap \text{sing supp } F = \emptyset$, then there is a function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that the identity " $F = g$ on Ξ " is valid in the distributional sense.*

Proof. We will prove this result by using the definition of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ that follows from Theorem 3.1. If $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the condition (a) above, we may set $V_F = \text{sing supp } F$ which is then a finite set. Moreover, the restriction $F_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F} = f_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F}$ for some $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F)$. We then have $(F - f)_{\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F} = 0$ and so $\text{supp}(F - f) \subseteq V_F$. Let $\Delta^{(F)} = F - f$. Since $\Delta^{(F)}$ is supported on the finite set V_F then (by a well-known textbook result [26]) $\Delta^{(F)}$ is a finite linear combination of Dirac deltas and their derivatives, i.e.:

$$(14) \quad \Delta^{(F)} = \sum_{w \in V_F} \Delta_w^{(F)} \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta_w^{(F)}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N C_k(w) \delta^{(k)}(x-w), \quad N \in \mathbf{N}_0, C_k(w) \in \mathbb{C}$$

in agreement with eq.(8). Hence, $F = f + \Delta^{(F)}$ with $\Delta^{(F)}$ given by (8) and $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F)$. To prove that $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$, by Theorem 3.1, we still have to prove that $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\Xi =]x_0, y_0[$ where x_0, y_0 are two arbitrary consecutive elements of V_F . Then $\Xi \cap V_F = \emptyset$ and from condition (b) above $F_\Xi = g_\Xi$ for some $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Since it is also true that $F_\Xi = f_\Xi$ it follows that $f_\Xi = g_\Xi$ and so $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} f^{(k)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0^+} g^{(k)}$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow y_0^-} f^{(k)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow y_0^-} g^{(k)}$ exist and are finite for all $k \in \mathbf{N}_0$. This proves that $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and so, by Theorem 3.1, that $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

Conversely, if $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ then F is given by eqs.(7,8) for some finite set V_F and some $f \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $\text{sing supp } F$ is a finite set. Moreover, on

an arbitrary open interval $\Xi \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Xi \cap \text{sing supp } F = \emptyset$, we have $F_\Xi = f_\Xi$. Since f is infinitely smooth on Ξ and $f^{(k)}$ displays finite lateral limits at the boundaries of Ξ (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$), there is (by Whitney's extension theorem [24]) a function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_\Xi = g_\Xi$ and so also $F_\Xi = g_\Xi$. This proves that F also satisfies condition (b) above. $\square$

Before proceeding, let us make the following remark concerning the relation between V_F and $\text{sing supp } F$:

Remark 3.3. We will always assume that V_F is a finite superset of $\text{sing supp } F$ (but not necessarily identical to $\text{sing supp } F$). This is not contradictory with Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 (since $\text{sing supp } F$ is always a finite set and we may always set $\Delta_w^{(F)} = 0$ for some $w \in V_F$). The reason why we do not simply make $V_F = \text{sing supp } F$ (although, most of the time, we assume this to be the case) is that the extra freedom will allow us to simplify the notation when deriving the explicit expression for the new product of distributions.

The aim now is to introduce a multiplicative product in the space $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. We start by considering the (restriction to $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ of the) product of distributions with *non intersecting singular supports* that was proposed by L. Hörmander in [pag. 55, [12]].

Definition 3.4: The Hörmander product .

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $V_F \cap V_G = \emptyset$. Let $\{\Omega_w \subset \mathbb{R}, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ be a finite covering of $\mathbb{R}$ by open sets satisfying $w' \notin \Omega_w, \forall w \neq w' \in V_F \cup V_G$. Let us also introduce the compact notation $F_w = F_{\Omega_w}, G_w = G_{\Omega_w}$ to designate the restrictions of F and G to the set Ω_w . Then $F \cdot G$ is defined by:

$$(15) \quad F \cdot G : \quad (F \cdot G)_{\Omega_w} = F_w G_w$$

where $F_w G_w$ denotes the usual product of a distribution by an infinitely smooth function.

The Remarks 3.5 and 3.6 will show that the Definition 3.4 is consistent. They are included for completion. Remark 3.5 also provides the explicit form of $F_w G_w$. This result will be used in Theorem 3.7 to obtain an explicit expression for $F \cdot G$.

Remark 3.5. The product $F_w G_w$ in eq.(15) is well defined. Indeed, let us write $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ in the form given by eq.(7), i.e. $F = f + \Delta^{(F)}$ and $G = g + \Delta^{(G)}$. For each $w \in V_F \cup V_G$ either F_w or G_w is a regular distribution

associated to a function in $C^\infty(\Omega_w)$:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} w \in V_F &\implies \begin{cases} F_w = \Delta_w^{(F)} + f_w; \\ f_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w \setminus \{w\}) \cap C^p(\Omega_w) \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \\ w \in V_G &\implies \begin{cases} G_w = g_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w) \\ F_w = f_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w) \\ G_w = \Delta_w^{(G)} + g_w; \\ g_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w \setminus \{w\}) \cap C^p(\Omega_w) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $g_w = g_{\Omega_w}$ and $f_w = f_{\Omega_w}$ are the restrictions of g and f to the open set Ω_w . It follows that the product $F_w G_w$ is well defined in the usual sense of a product of a distribution by an infinitely smooth function. We have:

$$(17) \quad F_w G_w = \begin{cases} g_w \Delta_w^{(F)} + g_w f_w, & w \in V_F \\ f_w \Delta_w^{(G)} + g_w f_w, & w \in V_G \end{cases}$$

and so $F_w G_w \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_w)$.

Remark 3.6. The definition of $F \cdot G$ satisfies the prerequisites of Lemma 2.5. Indeed, on an arbitrary open set $\Xi_{ww'} = \Omega_w \cap \Omega_{w'}$ (with $w \neq w'$) we have $(F_w G_w)_{\Xi_{ww'}} = (fg)_{\Xi_{ww'}} = (F_{w'} G_{w'})_{\Xi_{ww'}}$. This is because $\Xi_{ww'} \cap (V_F \cup V_G) = \emptyset$. Lemma 2.5 then implies that $F \cdot G$ is a Schwartz distribution, uniquely defined by eq.(15).

We have not yet proved that $F \cdot G$ is independent of the particular covering $\{\Omega_w, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ used to define it. This result will be a corollary of the next theorem where we obtain an explicit expression for $F \cdot G$:

Theorem 3.7. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ (such that $V_F \cap V_G = \emptyset$) be written in the form (7,8), i.e. $F = f + \sum_{w \in V_F} \Delta_w^{(F)}$ and $G = g + \sum_{w \in V_G} \Delta_w^{(G)}$. Then $F \cdot G$ is given by:*

$$(18) \quad F \cdot G = fg + \sum_{w \in V_F} \tilde{g}_w \Delta_w^{(F)} + \sum_{w \in V_G} \tilde{f}_w \Delta_w^{(G)}$$

where $\tilde{g}_w$ and $\tilde{f}_w$ are $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -extensions of the restrictions $g_w = g_{\Omega_w}$ and $f_w = f_{\Omega_w}$, respectively; and $\{\Omega_w \subset \mathbb{R}, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ is a finite covering of $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the requisites of Definition 3.4.

Remark 3.8. The extensions $\tilde{g}_w$ and $\tilde{f}_w$ are $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -functions such that, for each $w \in V_F$ we have $\tilde{g}_w(x) = g(x)$ if $x \in \Omega_w$; and for each $w \in V_G$, $\tilde{f}_w(x) = f(x)$ if $x \in \Omega_w$. That these functions exist follows from Whitney's extension theorem [24, 10] and the fact that $f, g \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and so, for each $w \in V_F$ (respectively $w \in V_G$), the restrictions $g_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w)$ -cf. eq.(16)-

(respectively $f_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w)$) and all its derivatives display finite limits at the boundaries of Ω_w . Hence, the right hand side of eq.(18) is a well defined Schwartz distribution. Let us denote it by J .

Remark 3.9. We now prove that J is independent of the particular finite covering of $\mathbb{R}$ (satisfying the conditions in Definition 3.4) and of the particular extensions of g_w and f_w that were used to define it. Indeed, if $\{\Omega_w, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ and $\{\Omega'_w, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ are two such coverings and $\tilde{g}_w, \tilde{f}_w$ and $\tilde{g}'_w, \tilde{f}'_w$ the associated functions then $w \notin \text{supp}(\tilde{f}'_w - \tilde{f}_w), \forall w \in V_G$ and $w \notin \text{supp}(\tilde{g}'_w - \tilde{g}_w), \forall w \in V_F$. Hence:

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{g}'_w - \tilde{g}_w) \cap \text{supp} \Delta_w^{(F)} = \emptyset, \quad \forall w \in V_F$$

and

$$\text{supp}(\tilde{f}'_w - \tilde{f}_w) \cap \text{supp} \Delta_w^{(G)} = \emptyset, \quad \forall w \in V_G$$

and so

$$(19) \quad \sum_{w \in V_F} \tilde{g}_w \Delta_w^{(F)} + \sum_{w \in V_G} \tilde{f}_w \Delta_w^{(G)} - \left[\sum_{w \in V_F} \tilde{g}'_w \Delta_w^{(F)} + \sum_{w \in V_G} \tilde{f}'_w \Delta_w^{(G)} \right] = 0$$

Therefore J is a well defined distribution that only depends of F and G .

Proof of Theorem 3.7. It is straightforward to realize that the restriction of J to Ω_w is $F_w G_w$ (given by eq.(17)). Since the restrictions to the open covering $\{\Omega_w, w \in V_F \cup V_G\}$ define a unique global distribution (Lemma 2.5) which, by definition, is $F \cdot G$ (cf. eq.(15)) the identity $F \cdot G = J$ holds. $\square$

Two simple corollaries follow directly from the theorem:

Corollary 3.10. $F \cdot G$ is independent of the particular open covering (Definition 3.4) used to define it.

Corollary 3.11. If $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ (such that $V_F \cap V_G = \emptyset$) then $F \cdot G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{sing supp}(F \cdot G) \subseteq (V_F \cup V_G)$.

This concludes the study of Hörmander's product. The next definition introduces the new product $\star$, extending Hörmander's product to the case of distributions with intersecting singular supports.

Definition 3.12: The product $\star$

Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. The multiplicative product $\star$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by:

$$(20) \quad F \star G = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F \cdot G^\epsilon$$

where $G^\epsilon(x) = G(x + \epsilon)$ is the translation of G by ϵ and the limit is taken in the weak sense.

The two following Remarks discuss the consistency of Definition 3.12. Then, in Theorem 3.15 we will prove that $\star$ is an internal operation in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and determine the explicit form of $F \star G$ for general $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.13. Since V_F and V_G are finite sets of real numbers there is always a real number $\sigma > 0$ such that $V_F \cap V_{G^\epsilon} = \emptyset$, $\forall \epsilon \in]0, \sigma[$; notice that $V_{G^\epsilon} = \{x - \epsilon, x \in V_G\}$ and so we may set, for instance, $\sigma = \min(\{|y - x|, x \in V_F, y \in V_G\} \setminus \{0\})$ if this minimum exists and $\sigma = 1$ if this is not the case (because $V_F = V_G$ and $\#V_F = 1$). Hence the product $F \cdot G^\epsilon$ is well defined for $\epsilon \in]0, \sigma[$.

Notice that, in general, $F \cdot G$ is not well defined since $\text{sing supp } F \cap \text{sing supp } G \neq \emptyset$. However, when that is the case, we will easily find (from Theorem 3.15) that $F \star G = F \cdot G$.

Remark 3.14. The functional $F \star G$ acts as:

$$(21) \quad \langle F \star G, t \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F \cdot G^\epsilon, t \rangle$$

and if its domain is $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ - i.e. if the limit on the right hand side exists for all $t \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ - then $F \star G$ is a Schwartz distribution (cf. Lemma 2.6). The next theorem will prove that this is the case for general $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and furthermore that $F \star G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$.

Before we proceed we need to introduce some notation. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and (for notational convenience) let us set $V_F = V_G = \text{sing supp } F \cup \text{sing supp } G$. Let also $N = \#(V_F)$ and $x_k \in V_F$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, $x_{k+1} > x_k$ be the array of elements of V_F (or V_G). Hence, $V_F = V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ and the distributions F and G can then be written in the form (cf. eqs(7,8)):

$$(22) \quad \begin{cases} F = f + \sum_{k=1}^N \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} \\ G = g + \sum_{k=1}^N \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} \end{cases}$$

where we set $\Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} = 0$ for $x_k \in V_F \setminus \text{sing supp } F$ and $\Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} = 0$ for $x_k \in V_G \setminus \text{sing supp } G$. Moreover, $f, g \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus V_F)$ and so (cf. Remark 2.3) they can be written in terms of a set of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -functions f_k, g_k ; $k = 0, \dots, N$:

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) &= H(x_1 - x)f_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} H(x - x_k)H(x_{k+1} - x)f_k(x) + H(x - x_N)f_N(x) \\ g(x) &= H(x_1 - x)g_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} H(x - x_k)H(x_{k+1} - x)g_k(x) + H(x - x_N)g_N(x). \end{aligned}$$

We can now state the new theorem:

Theorem 3.15. *Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $F \star G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and its explicit expression is given by:*

$$(24) \quad F \star G = fg + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[g_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} \right]$$

for F, G written in the form (22,23).

Proof. The first step is to obtain an explicit expression for $F \cdot G^\epsilon$ (cf. Definition 3.12). Let then F, G be written in the form (22,23). It follows from eq.(22) that $G^\epsilon(x) = G(x + \epsilon)$ is given by:

$$(25) \quad G^\epsilon = g^\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^N \Delta_{x_k - \epsilon}^{(G)}$$

where $g^\epsilon(x) = g(x + \epsilon)$ and $\Delta_{x_k - \epsilon}^{(G)}(x) = \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)}(x + \epsilon)$ is a singular distribution with support on $\{x_k - \epsilon\}$. We also have $V_F = V_G = \{x_k, k = 1, \dots, N\}$ and so $V_{G^\epsilon} = \{x_k - \epsilon, k = 1, \dots, N\}$. Since there is a $\sigma > 0$ such that $V_F \cap V_{G^\epsilon} = \emptyset$ for $0 < \epsilon < \sigma$ (cf. Remark 3.13), we can use eq.(18) to calculate $F \cdot G^\epsilon$ explicitly for all $\epsilon \in]0, \sigma[$:

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} F \cdot G^\epsilon &= fg^\epsilon + \sum_{w \in V_F} (\tilde{g}^\epsilon)_w \Delta_w^{(F)} + \sum_{w \in V_{G^\epsilon}} \tilde{f}_w \Delta_w^{(G)} \\ &= fg^\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^N (\tilde{g}^\epsilon)_{x_k} \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{f}_{(x_k - \epsilon)} \Delta_{(x_k - \epsilon)}^{(G)} \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{g}^\epsilon)_{x_k}$ and $\tilde{f}_{(x_k - \epsilon)}$ are the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -extensions of the restrictions $(g^\epsilon)_{x_k} = (g^\epsilon)_{\Omega_{x_k}}$ and $f_{(x_k - \epsilon)} = f_{\Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}}$, respectively. As usual the open sets Ω_{x_k} and $\Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}$ belong to a finite covering $\{\Omega_w \subset \mathbb{R}; w \in V_F \cup V_{G^\epsilon}\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$, satisfying the conditions stated in Definition 3.4. That is: i) $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (\Omega_{x_k} \cup \Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}) = \mathbb{R}$ and ii) $w' \notin \Omega_w, \forall w \neq w' \in (V_F \cup V_{G^\epsilon})$. There are, of course, many possible coverings of this sort but the expression (26) is independent of the particular one used to define it (cf. Remark 3.9). One possibility is (let $x_0 = -\infty$ and $x_{N+1} = +\infty$):

$$(27) \quad \Omega_{x_k} =]x_k - \epsilon, x_{k+1} - \epsilon[\quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_{x_k - \epsilon} =]x_{k-1}, x_k[, \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

It then follows from eq.(23) that:

$$(28) \quad (g^\epsilon)_{\Omega_{x_k}} = (g_k^\epsilon)_{\Omega_{x_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad (f)_{\Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}} = (f_{k-1})_{\Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}} \quad , \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

where g_k^ϵ is defined by $g_k^\epsilon(x) = g_k(x + \epsilon)$ and g_k, f_k were introduced in eq.(23). Since g_k and f_k belong to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for all $k = 0, \dots, N$ we may set (cf. eq.(26)):

$$(\tilde{g}^\epsilon)_{\Omega_{x_k}} = g_k^\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{f})_{\Omega_{(x_k - \epsilon)}} = f_{k-1} \quad , \quad k = 1, \dots, N$$

Substituting this in eq.(26) we finally get an explicit expression for $F \cdot G^\epsilon$:

$$(29) \quad F \cdot G^\epsilon = fg^\epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[g_k^\epsilon \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k-\epsilon}^{(G)} \right]$$

To obtain an explicit expression for $F \star G$ we still need to calculate the limit in eq.(21). Using eq.(29) we get for every $t \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F \cdot G^\epsilon, t \rangle &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left\{ \langle f \cdot g^\epsilon, t \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle g_k^\epsilon \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)}, t \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k-\epsilon}^{(G)}, t \rangle \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int f(x)g(x+\epsilon)t(x)dx + \sum_{k=1}^N \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)}, tg_k^\epsilon \rangle \\ (30) \quad &+ \sum_{k=1}^N \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)}, t^{-\epsilon} f_{k-1}^{-\epsilon} \rangle \\ &= \int f(x)g(x)t(x)dx + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)}, tg_k \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)}, tf_{k-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle fg + \sum_{k=1}^N \left[g_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} \right], t \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we defined $t^\epsilon(x) = t(x+\epsilon)$, $f_k^\epsilon(x) = f_k(x+\epsilon)$ and used the fact that $t, g_k, f_k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and so they are uniformly continuous on an arbitrary compact interval. We conclude from eqs.(21,30) that $F \star G \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ and is indeed given by eq.(24). Moreover, (24) is obviously of the form (7,8) and so $F \star G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. $\square$

We proceed by studying some of the properties of the new product:

Theorem 3.16. *The $\star$ -product is (i) distributive, (ii) associative but (iii) non-commutative, (iv) it reduces to the standard product of an infinitely smooth function by a distribution if either F or G belong to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and (v) it reproduces the standard product of continuous functions.*

Proof.

(i) Let us prove that the product is left distributive. Let $F, G, J \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. We have:

$$(31) \quad (F + G) \star J = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (F + G) \cdot J^\epsilon$$

We now prove that if $K \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and $V_{F+G} = V_F \cup V_G$ is such that $V_{F+G} \cap V_K = \emptyset$ then:

$$(32) \quad (F + G) \cdot K = F \cdot K + G \cdot K$$

This identity is valid globally if it is valid locally. Let $\{\Omega_w, w \in V_{F+G} \cup V_K\}$ be an open covering of $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 3.4 for the $\cdot$ product of $F + G$ by K . Then eq.(32) is equivalent to:

$$(33) \quad [(F + G) \cdot K]_{\Omega_w} = [F \cdot K + G \cdot K]_{\Omega_w}, \quad \forall w \in V_{F+G} \cup V_K$$

which, in turn, follows from:

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} [(F + G) \cdot K]_{\Omega_w} &= (F + G)_w K_w = F_w K_w + G_w K_w \\ &= [F \cdot K]_{\Omega_w} + [G \cdot K]_{\Omega_w} = [F \cdot K + G \cdot K]_{\Omega_w} \end{aligned}$$

where we used the definition of the $\cdot$ product (eq.(15)) and the fact that for each w either $F_w, G_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w)$ or $K_w \in C^\infty(\Omega_w)$. Making $K = J^\epsilon$ in eq.(32) and substituting in eq.(31), we get:

$$(35) \quad (F + G) \star J = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F \cdot J^\epsilon + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} G \cdot J^\epsilon = F \star J + G \star J$$

which proves that the product is left distributive. Equivalently, one may prove that it is also right distributive.

(ii) Let $F, G, J \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Then:

$$(36) \quad F = f + \sum_{w \in V_F} \Delta_w^{(F)} \quad , \quad G = g + \sum_{w \in V_G} \Delta_w^{(G)} \quad \text{and} \quad J = j + \sum_{w \in V_J} \Delta_w^{(J)}$$

where $f, g, j \in C_p^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. To keep the notation simple let us redefine $V_F = V_G = V_J = \text{sing supp } F \cup \text{sing supp } G \cup \text{sing supp } J$. Let $N = \#V_F$ and let $V_F = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ where $x_i < x_k$ for $i < k$. Then F, G can be written as in eq.(22) with f, g given by (23) and:

$$(37) \quad J = j + \sum_{k=1}^N \Delta_{x_k}^{(J)}$$

(notice that $\Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} = 0$ if $x_k \in V_F \setminus \text{sing supp } F$ and equivalently for the other distributions) where j can be written in terms of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ -functions j_k ; $k = 0, \dots, N$, as:

$$(38) \quad j(x) = H(x_1 - x)j_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} H(x - x_k)H(x_{k+1} - x)j_k(x) + H(x - x_N)j_N(x)$$

A trivial calculation using eq.(24) shows that:

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} (F \star G) \star J &= \left[fg + \sum_{k=1}^N \left(g_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} \right) \right] \star J \\ &= fgj + \sum_{k=1}^N \left(g_k j_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} j_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} + g_{k-1} f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(J)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and also:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (40) \quad F \star (G \star J) &= F \star \left[gj + \sum_{k=1}^N \left(j_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} + g_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(J)} \right) \right] \\
 &= fgj + \sum_{k=1}^N \left(g_k j_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + f_{k-1} j_k \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} + g_{k-1} f_{k-1} \Delta_{x_k}^{(J)} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the $\star$ -product is associative.

(iii) Let F, G be given by eq.(22,23). We have from eq.(24) that:

$$(41) \quad F \star G - G \star F = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[(g_k - g_{k-1}) \Delta_{x_k}^{(F)} + (f_{k-1} - f_k) \Delta_{x_k}^{(G)} \right]$$

and thus the $\star$ -product is non-commutative. Notice nevertheless, that $\text{supp}(F \star G - G \star F) \subseteq V_F \cup V_G$, is a finite set.

(iv) It follows directly from eq.(24) that if $F = f$ (or $G = f$) for some $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then $F \star G = fG$ (respectively, $F \star G = fF$).

(v) If $F, G \in (\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^0(\mathbb{R}))$ then, in the notation of eq.(22), $F = f$ and $G = g$. Hence, from eq.(24) $F \star G = fg$ thus concluding the proof. $\square$

Hence $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is an associative algebra. To proceed we may endow $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ with the following bracket structure:

$$(42) \quad [F, G] = F \star G - G \star F$$

the explicit form of the bracket being given by eq.(41).

Theorem 3.17. *The bracket (42) is a Lie bracket.*

Proof. The antisymmetric property of the bracket follows by construction while the linearity and the Jacobi identity are inherited from the left and right distributive and associative properties of the $\star$ -product, respectively. It is also easy to check that the bracket satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the $\star$ -product. $\square$

Finally we prove that:

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\frac{d}{dx}$ be the usual distributional derivative in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. The restriction $\frac{d}{dx} : \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Leibniz rule with respect to the $\star$ -product.*

Proof. Let $F, G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. Then $F \star G \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ and its derivative acts as $(F' = \frac{d}{dx} F)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (43) \quad & \langle (F \star G)', t \rangle = - \langle F \star G, t' \rangle = - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F \star G^\epsilon, t' \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle (F \star G^\epsilon)', t \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us then prove that the product $\cdot$ satisfies the Leibniz rule. Let $F, J \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $V_F \cap V_J = \emptyset$. Let $\{\Omega_w, w \in V_F \cup V_J\}$ be an open covering of $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying the conditions of Definition 3.4. Locally we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (44) \quad & [(F \cdot J)']_{\Omega_w} = [F' \cdot J + F \cdot J']_{\Omega_w} \\
 \iff & [(F \cdot J)_{\Omega_w}]' = [F' \cdot J]_{\Omega_w} + [F \cdot J']_{\Omega_w} \\
 \iff & (F_w J_w)' = F'_w J_w + F_w J'_w
 \end{aligned}$$

which is true because either F_w or J_w belongs to $C^\infty(\Omega_w)$. Hence the $\cdot$ product satisfies the Leibniz rule locally and thus also globally.

Substituting this result in eq.(43) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (45) \quad & \langle (F \star G)', t \rangle = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F \cdot (G^\epsilon)' + F' \cdot G^\epsilon, t \rangle \\
 & = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F \cdot (G')^\epsilon, t \rangle + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle F' \cdot G^\epsilon, t \rangle \\
 & = \langle F \star G', t \rangle + \langle F' \star G, t \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

where we took into account that the translation and the derivative operators commute in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $(F \star G)' = F \star G' + F' \star G$, which concludes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.19. The algebra $(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), +, \star)$ is an associative (but non-commutative) differential algebra of generalized functions.

This concludes the study of the properties of the $\star$ -product and of the associated algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. As a simple example, let us calculate the derivative of $H(x) \star H(x)$. We have:

$$\frac{d}{dx}(H(x) \star H(x)) = \delta(x) \star H(x) + H(x) \star \delta(x) = \delta(x) \star H(x) = \delta(x).$$

which of course, is consistent with the fact that $H(x) \star H(x) = H(x)$. Notice that multiple products of the Heaviside step function are sometimes used to exemplify a simpler version of the Schwartz impossibility result [4]. One typically finds that differentiating the equation $H^n(x) = H(x)$ for different values of n leads to contradictory results. This is not the case if $H^n(x)$ is calculated using the product $\star$. The key point, as one can easily check, is that the $\star$ product is non-commutative.

To finish this section, let us point out that there are other associative but non-commutative products, related to the one introduced in eq.(20), and also yielding a Lie bracket structure in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$. For instance:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (46) \quad & F \star_2 G \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F \cdot G^{-\epsilon} \\
 & F \star_3 G \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^\epsilon \cdot G \\
 & F \star_4 G \equiv \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} F^{-\epsilon} \cdot G
 \end{aligned}$$

which are all related to the original product introduced in (20):

$$(47) \quad F \star_2 G = F \star_3 G = G \star_4 F = G \star F$$

Finally, we can also define a commutative product (or symmetric bracket) through the prescription:

$$(48) \quad F \star_5 G = \frac{1}{2}(F \star G + G \star F)$$

which however is not associative.

4. LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH DISTRIBUTIONAL COEFFICIENTS

In this section we consider the second problem presented in the Introduction. Let:

$$(49) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \psi^{(i)} = f,$$

be an ordinary, linear differential equation defined on $\mathbb{R}$. The coefficients are the complex valued functions $a_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $a_n(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, and the non-homogeneous term is $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. As usual, $\psi^{(i)}$ denotes the i -th order derivative of ψ . Let ψ_U be the general solution of eq.(49). The subscript U stands for *unconfined* (meaning the ψ_U is the solution of (49) on the entire real line). The generalized solution of eq.(49) (i.e. the solution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$) coincides with its classical solution (i.e. the one in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$) [14]. The designation ψ_U will be used to denote both the distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ as well as the associated infinitely smooth function.

Let us also consider an object of the form $\psi_C = H(x)\psi_U$ "confined" to the positive axis and reproducing the "unconfined" general solution for $x > 0$. Such a function is not a generalized (nor classical) solution of eq.(49). The aim of this section is to derive a new differential equation that displays the generalized solution (and only the generalized solution) ψ_C .

Notice that we are focusing on the particular case of Problem 2 (stated in the Introduction) where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^+$. This is to keep the formulation as simple as possible. The extension to the general case where $\Omega =]a, b[$, $a < b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ is straightforward.

Let us then proceed. As a first step we substitute ψ_C in eq.(49) and find the correction terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (50) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \psi_C^{(i)} &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} H^{(j)} \psi_U^{(i-j)} \right\} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i H \psi_U^{(i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=1}^i \binom{i}{j} H^{(j)} \psi_U^{(i-j)} \\
 &= Hf + \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_U^{(i-j)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. Equation (50) is not a closed equation for ψ_C , because it displays a distributional non-homogenous term which is dependent on the particular solution of eq.(49). For each solution of eq.(49) (associated to a complete set of boundary conditions $\psi_U^{(i)}(x_0), i = 0, \dots, n-1$ given at some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$), this term can be re-written as a combination of Dirac deltas and their derivatives:

$$\sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i(x) H^{(j)}(x) \psi_U^{(i-j)}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} a_i(x) \psi_U^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(i-1-k)}(x)$$

and upon substitution in (50) we obtain a linear and ordinary differential equation, with a distributional non-homogenous term, that for a particular set of boundary conditions, displays a confined solution. However, the general solution of this equation is still not confined to $\mathbb{R}^+$, but exhibits instead a jump discontinuity at $x = 0$ [14]. More details about ordinary differential equations with distributional non-homogeneous terms can be found in [9, 18, 23]. The related issue of distributional solutions of ordinary differential equations is studied in [17, 15, 25]

Our task here will be to re-write eq.(50) exclusively in terms of ψ_C so that its general solution (and not only a particular solution) is of the form $H\psi_U$. With this in mind, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *If $\psi_U \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\psi_C \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\psi_C = \psi_U$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ then, in the distributional sense:*

$$(51) \quad \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_U^{(i-j)} = \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \star \psi_C^{(i-j)}.$$

Proof. The most general distribution $\psi_C \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\psi_C = \psi_U$ on $\mathbb{R}^+$ is given by:

$$(52) \quad \psi_C = H\psi_U + F$$

where $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\text{supp } F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^- \cup \{0\}$. Hence we have (for all $i \geq j = 1, \dots, n$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle H^{(j)} \star \psi_C^{(i-j)}, t \rangle &= \langle H^{(j)} \star [H\psi_U + F]^{(i-j)}, t \rangle \\
 &= \langle H^{(j)} \star [H \star \psi_U]^{(i-j)}, t \rangle + \langle H^{(j)} \star F^{(i-j)}, t \rangle \\
 &= \langle H^{(j)} \star \psi_U^{(i-j)}, t \rangle + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \langle H^{(j)} \star (F^\epsilon)^{(i-j)}, t \rangle \\
 (53) \qquad &= \langle H^{(j)} \psi_U^{(i-j)}, t \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we took into account that for $j \geq 1$, the sets $\text{supp } H^{(j)} = \{0\}$ and $\text{supp } (F^\epsilon)^{(i-j)} \subseteq]-\infty, -\epsilon]$ are disjoint. The result (53) is valid for all $i \geq j = 1, \dots, n$ and so eq.(51) holds. $\square$

We can now re-write eq.(50) exclusive in terms of ψ_C :

$$(54) \qquad \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \psi_C^{(i)} = Hf + \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \star \psi_C^{(i-j)}$$

which seems to be a suitable candidate for the differential equation we are looking for. That $\psi_C = H\psi_U$ is a solution of eq.(54) is obvious by construction. However, we still have to show that is its *only* solution:

Theorem 4.3. *Let ψ_U be the general solution of eq.(49). Then, the general solution of eq.(54) in $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by $\psi_C = H\psi_U$.*

Proof. For a generic $\psi_C \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ the support of the second term on the right hand side of eq.(54) is $\{0\}$. Hence, on $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $\mathbb{R}^-$, eq.(54) reduces to eq.(49) and to the homogeneous equation associated to eq.(49), respectively. We conclude that any solution of eq.(54) will be of the form:

$$(55) \qquad \psi_C = \Delta + H_- \psi_- + H \psi_+$$

where $\text{supp } \Delta \subseteq \{0\}$ (i.e Δ is a linear combination of the Dirac measure and its derivatives), $H_-(x) = H(-x)$ is the reversed Heaviside step function H and $\psi_-, \psi_+ \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ are the distributional (which coincide with the classical [14]) solutions of $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \psi^{(i)} = 0$ and of eq.(49), respectively. Substituting

(55) in eq.(54) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (56) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \Delta^{(i)} + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} a_i \left(H_-^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} + H^{(j)} \psi_+^{(i-j)} \right) \\
 &= Hf + \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_+^{(i-j)} \\
 \iff & \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \Delta^{(i)} + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \left(H_- \psi_-^{(i)}(x) + H \psi_+^{(i)} \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i \left(H^{(j)} \psi_+^{(i-j)} - H^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} \right) = Hf + \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_+^{(i-j)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Separating the terms that involve the delta distribution or its derivatives from those that do not, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (57) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \left(H_- \psi_-^{(i)} + H \psi_+^{(i)} \right) = Hf \\
 \iff & \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x) \psi_+^{(i)}(x) = f(x), & x > 0 \\ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i(x) \psi_-^{(i)}(x) = 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

(confirming what we already knew about ψ_- , ψ_+) and:

$$(58) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \Delta^{(i)} - \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} = 0$$

In eq.(58) the term proportional to the highest order derivative of δ is given by $a_n \Delta^{(n)}$ (if not zero, $a_n \Delta^{(n)}$ is proportional to at least $\delta^{(n)}$). This term cannot be cancelled by a combination of lower order derivatives of δ . Since $a_n \neq 0$ we get $\Delta^{(n)} = 0$ and so $\Delta = 0$. Hence, eq.(58) reduces to:

$$(59) \quad \sum_{i \geq j=1}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} = 0 \iff \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=j}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H_-^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} = 0$$

From eq.(57) we find that $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i H_- \psi_-^{(i)} = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ and by adding this last equation to eq.(59) we finally get:

$$(60) \quad \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=j}^n \binom{i}{j} a_i H_-^{(j)} \psi_-^{(i-j)} = 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \frac{d^i}{dx^i} (H_- \psi_-) = 0 \iff \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi^{(i)} = 0$$

where $\phi = H_- \psi_-$ satisfies (by construction) the boundary conditions $\phi^{(i)}(z_0) = 0$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ at an arbitrary $z_0 > 0$. For these boundary conditions the unique solution is $\phi = 0$, which implies that $\psi_-(x < 0) = 0$.

Assembling all these results, we finally get: $\psi_C = H\psi_+$, where ψ_+ satisfies eq.(57) (or equivalently eq.(49)), which proves the theorem. $\square$

We conclude that eq.(54) provides a global formulation for the differential problem described by eq.(49) and confined to the positive axis, i.e. it yields a solution of Problem 2 for the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^+$. The extension to the more general case where Ω is an arbitrary interval is straightforward.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Carlos Sarrico for useful comments and insights into the subject. Research was supported by the grants POCTI/0208/2003 and PTDC/MAT/69635/2006 of the Portuguese Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.F. Colombeau, *A multiplication of distributions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **94** (1983), 96.
- [2] J.F. Colombeau, *New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984).
- [3] J.F. Colombeau, *Multiplication of Distributions. A Tool in Mathematics, Numerical Engineering and Theoretical Physics*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1532** (Springer, New York, 1992).
- [4] J.F. Colombeau, *Generalized functions and infinitesimals*, Written version of the talk presented at the meeting of Nonstandard Analysis, (Pisa, June 2006), Arxiv: math.FA/0610264 (2006).
- [5] N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, *Wigner functions with boundaries*, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 4602.
- [6] N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, *Comment on "On infinite walls in deformation quantization"*, Ann. Phys. **321** (2006) 495.
- [7] N.C. Dias, A. Posilicano, J.N. Prata, *Globally defined self-adjoint Hamiltonians for systems with boundaries*, Arxiv: 0707.0948 (math-ph, 2007).
- [8] N.C. Dias, J.N. Prata, *A multiplicative product of distributions and a global formulation of the confined Schrödinger equation*, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications **2** (2008) No.3, 137.
- [9] R. Estrada and R.P. Kanwal, *Applications of distributional derivatives to wave propagation*, J. Inst. Math. Appl. **26** (1980) 39.
- [10] W. Fleming, *Functions of several variables*, 2nd Edition (Springer-Verlag, 1977).
- [11] P. Garbaczewski, W. Karwowski, *Impenetrable barriers and canonical quantization*, Am. J. Phys. **72** (2004) 924.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I* (Springer-Verlag, 1983).
- [13] C. Isham, *Topological and global aspects of quantum theory*, in: Les Houches, Session XL, eds. B.S. DeWitt and R. Stora (Elsevier, 1984).
- [14] R.P. Kanwal, *Generalized Functions: Theory and Technique*, 2nd Edition (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998).
- [15] A.M. Krall, R.P. Kanwal and L.L. Littlejohn, *Distributional solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proc. **8** (1987) 227.
- [16] S.Kryukov, M.A.Walton, *On infinite walls in deformation quantization*, Ann. Phys. **317** (2005) 474.
- [17] L.L. Littlejohn and R.P. Kanwal, *Distributional solutions of the hypergeometric differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **122** (1980) 325.

- [18] H.H. Pan and R.M. Hohenstein, *A method of solution for an ordinary differential equation containing symbolic functions*, Quart. Appl. Math. **39** (1980) 131.
- [19] C. Sarrico, *About a family of distributional products important in the applications*, Portugaliae Math. **45** (1988) 295.
- [20] C. Sarrico, *Global solutions of first order linear systems of ordinary differential equations with distributional coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **276** (2002) 611.
- [21] L. Schwartz, *Sur l'impossibilité de la multiplication des distributions*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **239** (1954) 847.
- [22] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions* (Hermann, Paris, 1966).
- [23] I. Stakgold, *Green's Functions and Boundary Value Problems* (Wiley, New York, 1979).
- [24] H. Whitney, Ann. Math. **35** (1934) 485.
- [25] J. Wiener, *Generalized solutions of functional differential equations* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1993).
- [26] A. Zemanian, *Distribution theory and transform analysis* (Dover, 1987).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE LUSÓFONA DE HUMANIDADES E TECNOLOGIAS, AV. CAMPO GRANDE 376, 1749-024 LISBOA, PORTUGAL AND GRUPO DE FÍSICA MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, AV. PROF. GAMA PINTO 2, 1649-003, LISBOA, PORTUGAL

E-mail address: `ncdias@meo.pt`, `joao.prata@mail.telepac.pt`